

EXHIBIT (2)

Crime lab results Handwipings

- A: Negative for firing a firearm on mine
- B: Positive for firing a firarm on wife's hand
- C: Medical examiners notes on chipped fingernail finding on same hand as antimony found.



SOUTHWESTERN
INSTITUTE OF FORENSIC SCIENCES

AT DALLAS

5230 Medical Center Drive
Dallas, Texas 75235-7710

TELEPHONE 972-5987
AREA CODE 214

REPLY TO:
P.O. BOX 35728
DALLAS, TEXAS
75235-0728

Criminal Investigation Laboratory

April 12, 1996

Bill Rice
Garland Police Department
217 North Fifth Street
Garland, Texas 75040

FL# 96P0489
GPD# 39795/96-435
DCME# 0722-96
Diane Radke - Complainant
Christopher Radke - Suspect
Pending

EVIDENCE:

Received from V. Thompson on March 1, 1996:

1: Handwipings from Christopher Radke

RESULTS:

The chemical elements antimony and barium are components of virtually all primer mixtures and can be deposited on the backs or palms of a person who has recently discharged a firearm or a person whose hands were in close proximity to a discharging firearm. Item 1 was analyzed by flameless atomic absorption analysis.

Barium and antimony levels meeting the criteria for evidence of gunshot residue were not found on item 1. Both elements must be elevated on the same part of the hand in order for the characteristics of gunshot residue to be present. The absence or low levels of these metals on the hands could be due to the suspect:

1. Not discharging a firearm
2. Discharging a firearm that does not deposit significant metallic residues on the firing hand
3. Washing or wiping the hands after discharging a firearm



Vicki Hall
Vicki Hall
Trace Evidence Analyst

VH/arc
cc: DCME# 0722-96 (SS)



Criminal Investigation Laboratory

SOUTHWESTERN
INSTITUTE OF FORENSIC SCIENCES
AT DALLAS
5230 Medical Center Drive
Dallas, Texas 75235-7710

TELEPHONE 920-5982
AREA CODE 214
REPLY TO:
P.O. BOX 35728
DALLAS, TEXAS
75235-0728

June 25, 1996

Martha Hollowell
Office of the District Attorney
133 North Industrial Blvd
Lockbox 19
Dallas, Texas 75207

FL# 96P0489
GPD# 96435
DCME# 0722-96
Diana Radke - Complainant
Homicide

EVIDENCE:

Received from S. Spotswood, M.D., on March 6, 1996:


10: Handwipings from Diana Radke

RESULTS:

The chemical elements antimony and barium are components of virtually all primer mixtures and can be deposited on the backs or palms of a person who has recently discharged a firearm or a person whose hands were in close proximity to a discharging firearm. Item 10 was analyzed by flameless atomic absorption analysis.

* — Although an elevated level of antimony was detected on the palm of the left hand, barium levels meeting the criteria for evidence of gunshot residue were not found on item 10. Both elements must be elevated on the same part of the hand in order for the characteristics of gunshot residue to be present. The absence or low levels of these metals on the hands could be due to the deceased:

1. Not discharging a firearm
2. Discharging a firearm that does not deposit significant metallic residues on the firing hand
3. Washing or wiping the hands after discharging a firearm




Vicki Hall
Vicki Hall
Trace Evidence Analyst

VH/arc
cc: DCME# 0722-96 (SS)

THE SOUTHWESTERN INSTITUTE OF FORENSIC SCIENCES
AT DALLAS

Name Radke, Diana Autopsy No. 0722-96

Color _____ Age _____ Date _____



For protocol only:

SS

EXHIBIT (3)

TESTIMONY OF MEDICAL EXAMINER SHIELA SPOTSWOOD

She says she was heavily influenced by Detective Thompson to rule the case a homicide despite all the evidence of suicide. Also admits that it is possible my wife shot herself.

1 Q. Was that submitted to the firearms and tool
2 mark examiners for further examination?

3 A. Yes, it was, yes.

4 Q. Was there a partial exit wound to that injury?

5 A. Yes.

6 Q. And where was that, and can you describe it?

7 A. That was on the right side of her head. It
8 was centered in this area on the top of the right ear,
9 but it was a large split, like defects involving the
10 right side of the head.

11 Q. Can you tell us what the pathway of the
12 projectile was?

13 A. Yes. It was primarily from front to back, but
14 it was also left to right and slightly upward.

15 Q. Now, you said that the range of fire was
16 contact?

17 A. Yes.

18 Q. Did you also obtain handwashes and other
19 evidence at this time?

20 A. Yes, I did.

21 Q. What other evidence did you obtain?

22 A. We obtained handwashings, samples of her head
23 hair, fingernail clippings, and blood.

24 Q. Were those items also submitted to the lab for
25 analysis?

PERI K. STROMBERG, CSR

1 Q. Okay. And what are we looking at in State's
2 Exhibit No. 74.

3 A. This is a close-up. It's hard to orient, but
4 it looks like it goes this way because this is that soot
5 on the chin, again. So this should be the upper part of
6 the face here. This would be going down to the neck.
7 This is some of the lips right here. These are the
8 margins of the wounds where they almost pull together.
9 You can see in some areas there's abrasion and in some
10 areas there's soot.

11 Q. Go ahead and have a seat.

12 A. (Witness complies.)

13 Q. Now, you said that the manner of death was
14 homicide.

15 A. Yes.

16 Q. What exactly in specific detail that you --
17 the factors you took into consideration when you made
18 that determination?

19 A. I considered all the information that I had
20 been given and what I had found from the autopsy.

21 Q. What type of information?

22 A. From the autopsy, the wound, of course; and
23 then the fact that she had these scars on the wrists
24 that don't -- they're not definite, but they suggest a
25 form of suicide attempt. The history that I've been

PERI K. STROMBERG, CSR

1 given included that, by the time the report was even
2 called into us by the police, the person who found her
3 had had at least three different versions about what
4 happened. And then early -- I'm sorry, later in the
5 night, but still before I ever arrived in the building,
6 we got another call from the police that the husband who
7 found her was found to have brain and blood on the top
8 of his shoes and not on the bottom of his shoes. And
9 then later in the day, after I did the autopsy exam, I
10 talked with the same investigator, Thompson, who told me
11 another story, at least a fourth story, from this person
12 who found her who gave another story, and none of them
13 fit what I saw on the body and then what I saw in the
14 scene photographs, and what our field investigator told
15 me that she had seen at the scene. Did you want the
16 specific stories?

17 Q. No.

18 THE COURT: Doctor, eliminating the
19 hearsay, can you tell from the body alone that it was
20 homicide?

21 THE WITNESS: Not from the body alone,
22 no.

23 THE COURT: Given that you know the
24 height of the deceased, you know the entry, point of
25 entry of the wound, the point of exit, we know the type

PERI K. STROMBERG, CSR

1 all of that lines up, and then if you could, for a
2 hypothetical, assume that the -- at least the exit wound
3 of the head was approximately two feet above the
4 ground. Do you understand what I'm saying?

5 THE WITNESS: Yes.

6 THE COURT: Can you suggest any manner
7 in which this might have occurred, the position of the
8 body at the time of the shooting?

9 THE WITNESS: What position she might
10 be in?

11 THE COURT: ~~Yes. She's obviously at 56~~
12 ~~inches. She was not standing erect, was she, when she~~
13 ~~was shot?~~

14 THE WITNESS: No. But the positions
15 are still really almost infinite. All I can say is the
16 gun's position relative to her, which is this straight
17 line. And then according to the scene, the information
18 and photographs said it was low down on the floor, near
19 the floor, but not whether she's sitting or falling or
20 getting up or bending over or anything like that. I
21 couldn't say. Just so that you can position her head
22 low enough there to fit with the blood at the scene and
23 the wound.

24 THE COURT: ~~Well, you can eliminate~~
25 ~~that she was standing, can you do that?~~

PERI K. STROMBERG, CSR

1 THE WITNESS: ~~Yes.~~

2 THE COURT: If she were kneeling, how
3 high would her head be above the floor, a person of this
4 height, approximately?

5 THE WITNESS: If she were --

6 THE COURT: If she were on her knee.

7 THE WITNESS: Well, there's different
8 ways. She could be kneeling, crouched way down or
9 kneeling full upright.

10 THE COURT: Let's say upright for
11 starters.

12 THE WITNESS: I think that I would have
13 to get out a ruler and estimate. But just knowing how
14 she looked and the average person, it would be higher
15 than it looked like it was at the scene.

16 THE COURT: So these factors that we
17 have mentioned, the fact that the ~~exit wound appears to~~
18 ~~have been somewhere about two feet off the ground,~~ the
19 exit wound itself, the entry wound and the muzzle of the
20 gun does not suggest to you any scenario of what -- how
21 she was shot?

22 THE WITNESS: No.

23 THE COURT: All right. Thank you.

24 MS. MCVEA: We have nothing further,
25 Your Honor. Pass the witness.

PERI K. STROMBERG, CSR

1 said, correct?

2 A. Not solely. But very heavily on that, yes.

3 Q. In fact, if that -- if you had not had
4 evidence of Mr. Radke's words, you would have thought,
5 when first looking at this somebody, this was a suicide,
6 correct?

7 A. I would have, yes.

8 Q. This is the way most suicides look, right --

9 A. Well --

10 Q. -- if they're involving a shotgun?

11 A. It's pretty typical for a suicide, being
12 contact range and involving the head.

13 Q. Sure. And you state that it was -- there was
14 a contact wound. The actual exact angle, you're not
15 able to determine?

16 A. Not exactly, no.

17 Q. So you mentioned to the Judge the exit wound,
18 but you're not even -- you can't specify exactly which
19 angle, with any certain degree of reliability, the exact
20 angle of that gun to her mouth, correct?

21 A. Not exactly to within a few degrees, no.

22 Q. So there's several -- it's sort of a wide
23 range. If the gun was to her lips, for example, there's
24 sort of a wide scope of range that the gun could have
25 been at when it went off?

1 other words, that lady that was demonstrating the
2 deceased was laying with her head flat on the ground,
3 correct?

4 A. In one of those demonstrations, she was, yes.
5 I think the other was higher up.

6 Q. Well, how high was her head on the second
7 demonstration? Perhaps I didn't see that.

8 A. The first one, I don't remember how far they
9 went with the demonstration, but she started off in a
10 sitting position, and then -- and then she was on the
11 floor in the demonstration, too.

12 Q. The gun wasn't aimed at her when she was
13 sitting against the wall.

14 A. Okay. Then when she was on the floor, that's
15 not consistent with what the Judge said about her head
16 being two feet up, but I've never said that her head was
17 two feet up. What I was asked was if the wound on her
18 body would be consistent with that, and it would.

19 Q. Basically, ma'am, then, you have no idea how
20 she was situated on the floor or in her room when she
21 died, correct?

22 ~~A. Only that she was very low somewhere to the~~
23 ~~floor or on the floor, but not exactly how high. And in~~
24 ~~what position, no, I don't know.~~

25 ~~Q. As far as that goes, she could have had the~~

1 ~~gun in her hand --~~

2 A. ~~Yes.~~

3 Q. ~~-- at that point --~~

4 A. ~~Yes.~~

5 Q. ~~-- pulling the trigger?~~

6 A. ~~As far as the wound is concerned, sir, yes,~~

7 Q. ~~And her body lengths and all that kind of~~
8 ~~stuff, correct?~~

9 A. ~~Yes.~~

10 Q. ~~Such as in a suicide, correct?~~

11 A. ~~Yes.~~

12 MR. STEINBECK: Thank you. I have
13 nothing further.

14 MS. MCVEA: Again, nothing further,
15 Your Honor.

16 THE COURT: You may step down, Miss.

17 MS. MCVEA: May this witness be
18 excused?

19 THE COURT: Beg pardon?

20 MS. MCVEA: May this witness be
21 excused?

22 THE COURT: Any objection?

23 MR. STEINBECK: Subject to recall, Your
24 Honor. I have Dr. Spotswood's work number.

25 Do you have a pager, ma'am?

PERI K. STROMBERG, CSR

EXHIBIT (4)

Testimony of examination of Tom Bevel of pants that he examined 2 days before trial.

EXHIBIT (5)

Transcript from testimony of Lab Analysis Carolyn Van Winkle.
This is where my attorney Discovers Dr. John V. Planz & Dr. Elizabeth Hendl's pictorial and examination notes from 4-17-1996 where they never detected any High Velocity blood spatter on the front of my pants. My attorney discovers this withheld evidence and enters it as Defendants Exhibit #1. Attorney never follows up on this.

1 Q. But that's not as accurate as DNA, though?

2 A. It's not as discriminating as DNA. It
3 obviously can exclude a portion of the population based
4 on the types that are obtained.

5 Q. Is there any other reports, ma'am, that you
6 have that I haven't seen admitted?

7 A. How many reports do you have?

8 Q. Do you have any other reports or
9 * → documentation? I believe there was something else I had
10 seen that I haven't seen before.

11 A. You should have five reports.

12 Q. I've seen those five reports. How about your
13 diagrams? Do you mind if I look at your notes?

14 (Whereupon, the attorney reviewed
15 the notes of the doctor.)

16 Q. (By Mr. Steinbeck) Referring to this diagram
17 here, ma'am, the -- for those pants there depicted,
18 those are the pants in question, correct?

19 A. They are. They are the pants -- they were
20 looked at on April 17th of '96 by the analysts, yes.

21 Q. And what do those notes there depict?


22 A. It's his findings on looking at and analyzing
23 the stains from those pants.

24 Q. Were those found before or after your testing?

25 A. I personally did no testing of my own in this

SOUTHWESTERN INSTITUTE OF FORENSIC SCIENCES

Dallas, Texas



FL # 96P0489

Results of Examination:


Comp Radke, Dene
Garland PD 96-435

Rec'd from Va. Thompson on 3/1/96
Sub in BPB & plastic bag

#9 wrist watch "Inter ceramic" w/ Braun leather band
No visible stains cat of all surfaces

Sub in BPB

#7a Green denim pants w/ black braided leather belt
\$23.25 in pocket, "Levi's 550 30x39"



#7b Briefs Cat of all surf

#7c Socks, white 1pr Cat of all surf

Examiner JA

Date 4/17/96

EXHIBIT (6)

Withheld police reports that say by first responders, the shotgun was lying next to the deceased on the floor on her left side.

Photo of my wife with the shotgun lying across her chest.

someone moved the shotgun. The medical examiners field agent nor the medical examiner knew about this.

Garland Police Department Incident/Investigation Report

Agency: GPD

Case Number: T01A0004


Date: 04/23/2014 08:59:59

Supplement Information

Supplement Date	Supplement Type REPORTING OFFICER'S NARRATIVE	Supplement Officer Not Found 1
Contact Name RADKE, DIANA LYNN		Supervising Officer
Police District: 35	Council District:	City Beat: 114
Case Status: CLOSED/CLEARED		Case Status Date:
Case Disposition: CLEARED BY ARREST		Investigator:

Supplement Notes

ON FEBRUARY 29, 1996, OFFICERS C.M. REED, 221, AND H.N. POTEET, 57, WERE DISPATCHED WITH OFFICER S.A. VORNBERG, 97, TO 1001 SUNSET DRIVE IN REGARD TO A GUNSHOT VICTIM AT THAT LOCATION. OFFICERS REED, POTEET, AND VORNBERG ARRIVED AT THE LOCATION AND FOUND THAT THE GARLAND FIRE DEPARTMENT PARAMEDICS WERE ALREADY OUT AT THE SCENE. OFFICERS REED, POTEET, AND VORNBERG ENTERED THE RESIDENCE AND OBSERVED A WHITE FEMALE LYING ON THE LIVING ROOM FLOOR FACE UP. THE WHITE FEMALE HAD A 12 GAUGE SHOTGUN LAYING ON THE FLOOR NEXT TO HER LEFT SIDE. THE FEMALE APPEARED TO HAVE A GUNSHOT WOUND TO THE HEAD. OFFICER REED THEN EXITED THE RESIDENCE AND CONTACTED A WHITE MALE SUBJECT IN THE FRONT YARD OF THE RESIDENCE AS OFFICERS POTEET AND VORNBERG BEGAN TO SEAL OFF THE RESIDENCE AS A CRIME SCENE. THE SUBJECT OFFICER REED BEGAN SPEAKING WITH IDENTIFIED HIMSELF AS CHRISTOPHER RADKE. THE SUBJECT, CHRISTOPHER, APPEARED TO BE SPEAKING WITH SOMEONE ON A CELLULAR TELEPHONE, BUT HUNG UP BEFORE OFFICER REED COULD SPEAK WITH THE PARTY ON THE LINE. OFFICER REED WALKED THE SUBJECT TO AN AMBULANCE WHERE HE COULD SIT DOWN, AS HE



Crime scene photograph taken from front door. At right is stool that collapsed under Chris's weight due to shock.

EXHIBIT (7)

Withheld police report that shows detective pick up shotgun, and blood poured out of the barrell. Also Dr. Harry J. Bonnell's affidavit after reviewing the evidence.

Garland Police Department Incident/Investigation Report

Agency: GPD

Case Number: T01A0004

Date: 04/23/2014 08:59:59

Supplement Notes

SUSPECT MADE SEVERAL STATEMENTS TO OFCRS. AND FIRE DEPT. PERSONNEL WHICH SEEMED INCONSISTANT AND STRANGE TO THEM. AS OFCRS. TALKED MORE TO THE SUSPECT HE AGAIN CHANGED HIS STORY ABOUT WHAT HAPPENED. THE OFCRS. AND F.D. NOTICED THAT THE SUSPECT HAD SCRATCHES ON HIS BODY, HIS KNUCKLES WERE RED AND HE DENIED DRINKING ANYTHING BUT THEY COULD SMELL ALCOHOL ON HIS BREATH. THE SUSPECT WAS THEN CUFFED AND PLACED IN A SQUAD CAR. DET. CHECKED THE CRIME SCENE AND THE BODY WAS LAYING ON THE LIVING ROOM FLOOR WITH HER FEET AND PART OF HER LEGS ON THE KITCHEN FLOOR. HER HEAD WAS IN AN EASTERLY DIRECTION AND FEET TO THE WEST. HER ARMS WERE SPREAD OUT TO THE NORTH AND SOUTH AND SHE WAS ON HER BACK. THERE WAS BLOOD ON THE N. WALL AND ON THE FLOOR UNDER HER HEAD. THERE WAS A SMALL AMOUNT OF BODY TISSUE TO THE EAST OF THE COMPL. HEAD. THERE WAS A DOUBLE BARRELL SHOT GUN LEANING UP AGAINST THE COMPL. LEFT SIDE POINTING TO THE N. AND SLIGHTLY UP. IT APPEARED THAT IT HAD BEEN PLACED THERE. NO OFCR. OR F.D TOUCHED THE GUN OR ANYTHING ELSE IN THE HOUSE. THERE WERE FIVE SHOTGUN SHELLS LAYING ON A SHELF IN THE L.R. ON THE N. WALL. WITH WHAT THE OFCR. TOLD DET. AND WHAT THE CRIME SCENE LOOKED LIKE, IT WAS DETERMINED THAT THE SUSPECTS HANDS SHOULD BE PROCESSED FOR GUNSHOT RESIDUE AND THAT PHOTO'S SHOULD BE TAKEN OF HIS SCRATCHES. THE SUSPECT WAS THEN TAKEN TO CPD FOR INV. OF MURDER. DET. LOOKED THROUGHOUT THE HOUSE AND THE WHOLE HOUSE WAS A MESS. DIRTY CLOTHES, OPENED BOXES, DIRTY DIAPERS AND A GENERAL MESS. CRIME SCENE PROCESSED THE EVIDENCE AND WHEN THE OPENED THE

Garland Police Department Incident/Investigation Report

Agency: GPD

Case Number: T01A0004

Date: 04/23/2014 08:59:59

Supplement Notes

SHOTGUN, BLOOD POURED OUT THE END OF THE BARRELL. AFTER DET. CLEARED THE CRIME SCENE, DET. GOT THE SUSPECT OUT OF THE JAIL AND QUESTIONED HIM ABOUT THE INCIDENT. AGAIN THE SUSPECT HAD A DIFFERENT STORY. THE SUSPECT WAS PUT UP AND QUESTIONED THE NEXT DAY. AFTER FURTHER QUESTIONING, HE CAME UP WITH HIS LAST VERSION OF WHAT HAPPENED WHICH WAS THAT THEY WERE FIGHTING OVER THE SHOTGUN AND IT WENT OFF. DET. LATER SPOKE TO THE M.E. ABOUT THIS AND GAVE HER THE INFO. ABOUT THE CRIME SCENE AND TOLD HER WHAT THE SUSPECT SAID HAPPENED. SHE STATED THAT EMMA PROFIT THE FIELD AGENT ALSO TOLD HER ABOUT THE CRIME SCENE. THE DR. STATED THAT THE OFFENSE COULD NOT HAVE HAPPENED IN THAT MANNER AND STATED THAT SHE WOULD RULE THIS A HOMICIDE. ON MON. DET. AGAIN QUESTIONED THE SUSPECT AND AT THAT TIME HE REQUESTED AN ATTORNEY AND THE INTERVIEW WAS STOPPED. DET. FILED A MURDER CASE ON THE SUSPECT. DET. LATER QUESTIONED RELATIVES, FRIENDS, CO-WORKERS AND NEIGHBORS OF THE COMPL. TO LEARN OTHER INFO. THE COMPL. AND SUSPECT HAVE A HISTORY OF FAMILY VIOLENCE. IT WAS ALSO LEARNED THAT THE SHOTGUN IN THIS OFFENSE WAS RECENTLY PLACED IN THE GPD PROPERTY ROOM AT THE REQUEST OF THE COMPL. BUT SEVERAL DAYS LATER SHE ASKED FOR IT BACK

HARRY J. BONNELL, M.D.
6910 Monte Verde Drive
San Diego, California 92119-1511

Office/Fax: 619 698 6388

www.4n6Pathology.com


28 May 2016

Christopher A. Radke, TDCJ#806352
Ramsey Unit
1100 FM 655
Rosharon, TX 77583

Dear Mr. Radke:

Upon further review of the materials in your case, I feel comfortable in sending you the enclosed affidavit.

Sincerely,



Harry J. Bonnell, M.D
Encl/as

cc: Jane Caldwell
5536 Southfork Drive N.
Royse City, TX 75189

Eddie Frankum
HALO Private Investigators
4230 LBJ Freeway, Suite 330
Dallas, TX 75244

I. AFFIDAVIT OF HARRY J. BONNELL, M.D.

I, Harry J. Bonnell, M.D., declare as follows:

1. I am a medical doctor, currently employed as a Forensic Pathologist licensed to practice Medicine in the State of California. A true and correct copy of my curriculum vitae is attached as **Exhibit A**.

2. I attended Georgetown University Medical School in Washington, D.C., and graduated from that program in 1979. I have taught at the University of Washington, Madigan Army Medical Center, King County Corrections Center, Uniformed Services University of Health Sciences, University of Cincinnati College of Medicine, and the School of Medicine of the University of California, San Diego.

3. From 1991-2001, I was the Chief Deputy Medical Examiner for the Office of the Medical Examiner in San Diego, California. I have also been Chief Deputy Coroner and Director of Forensic Pathology of Hamilton County, Ohio, Staff Pathologist in the Forensic Sciences Department at the Armed Forces Institute of Pathology, and Assistant Medical Examiner of King County, Washington.

4. I have personally performed over 7000 autopsies and provided sworn testimony more than 900 times in the Superior Courts of twenty states, six Federal Court jurisdictions and eight military courts.

5. I have reviewed and analyzed the testimony/reports of Dr. Sheila SPOTSWOOD, Southwestern Institute of Forensic Sciences regarding clothing, blood spatter expert BEVEL and police reports.

6. Based on review and analysis of this material as well as my education, training and experience it is my opinion to a reasonable degree of medical certainty that:

- Between the time of the examination by SWIFS of the pants worn by RADKE at the time of the incident and the time of the examination by BEVEL, a blood smear developed and additional blood spatter was discovered. Such an event is more than rare.
- Dr. SPOTSWOOD testified that the shotgun entry wound was contact with the lips but this is contradicted by two facts: (1) the amount of damage to the skull could only have occurred if the expanding gases that pushed out the shotgun pellets continued into the skull causing it to “explode” open as it did, and (2) when the shotgun was first moved by responding law enforcement personnel, blood poured out of both barrels.
- DNA testing needs to be done on the blood spatter on the pants worn by RADKE, especially the so-called high-velocity splatter which would not be expected from an intra-oral shotgun wound.

7. I am not being reimbursed in any manner for rendering this opinion, and if I had been consulted by defense counsel prior to trial, I would have been ready and willing to provide counsel with this opinion and testify if requested. I am still willing to testify if required.

CURRICULUM VITAE

Harry J. BONNELL, M.D.
Forensic Pathology Consultant
6910 Monte Verde Drive
San Diego, California 92119-1511

Tel: 619 698 6388

E-Mail: bonnell@4n6pathology.com

EDUCATION AND TRAINING

UNDERGRADUATE: Georgetown University BA 1965-1968
Washington, D.C.

MEDICAL EDUCATION: Georgetown University MD 1975-1979
Washington, D.C.

RESIDENCY: Veterans Administration Medical Center
Martinez, California Anatomic Path 1979-1981

University of Washington
Seattle, Washington Anatomic Path 1982-1983

FELLOWSHIP: Office of the Medical Examiner
Seattle, Washington Forensic Path 1981-1984

CERTIFICATION: Anatomic & Forensic Pathology 1985

LICENSURE: California, 1979 & 1991 (active)
Washington, 1981 (inactive)
Ohio, 1987 (inactive)

MILITARY SERVICE

U.S. Army, Military Police Rank of Captain 1969-1973
U.S. Air Force, Medical Corps Rank of Major 1984-1987

ACADEMIC APPOINTMENTS

Instructor in Pathology University of Washington Seattle, Washington	1981-1984
Instructor in Pathology Madigan Army Medical Center Fort Lewis, Washington	1981-1984
Instructor, King County Corrections Center Rehabilitation Program Seattle, Washington	1983-1984
Adjunct Assistant Professor Uniformed Services University of Health Sciences Bethesda, Maryland	1985-1987
Assistant Clinical Professor, Pathology University of Cincinnati College of Medicine Cincinnati, Ohio	1987-1991
Associate Clinical Professor, Pathology U.C. San Diego School of Medicine San Diego, California	1992-2006

EMPLOYMENT HISTORY

1981-1984: Assistant Medical Examiner, King County (Seattle)
Washington

1984-1987: Staff Pathologist, Forensic Sciences Department,
Armed Forces Institute of Pathology, Washington, D.C.

1987-1991: Chief Deputy Coroner and Director of Forensic
Pathology, Hamilton County (Cincinnati), Ohio

1991-2001: Chief Deputy Medical Examiner
San Diego County, California

2001-Present: Self-employed consultant

PROFESSIONAL AFFILIATIONS

American Academy of Forensic Sciences (1980-present)
National Association of Medical Examiners (1980-2011)
Parents of Murdered Children
 Member, Board of Trustees (1991- 2015)
 Member, Second Opinion Services (1998-present)
San Diego County Child Fatality Review Committee (1991- 2001)
 Chair/Co-Chair 1993-98
San Diego County Domestic Violence Review Committee (1997-2001)
San Diego Citizen Review Board on Police Practices (2005-2013)

PUBLICATIONS

Terminal Air Embolus Associated with Pncumatoxis Cystoides
Intestinalis, Am J For Med & Path, March, 1982.

Antemortem Chemical Hypothyroxinemia, J For Sci, January, 1983.

Boot Top Fractures in Pedestrians: A Forensic Masquerade, Am J For
Med & Path, June, 1983.

Synchronous Bilateral Seminomas and Teratoma, Henry Ford Hospital
Med Journal, December, 1983.

Ethanol in Sequestered Hematomas: Quantitative Evaluation, Am J
Clin Path, 1984.

Left Pontine Lipoma, Arch of Path, 1985.

Correlation of Physical Findings with Circumstances Surrounding
Death in Hangings, J For Sci, 1985.

A Procedure for the Investigation of Anesthetic/Surgical Deaths, J For Sci, 1985.

Fatty Liver in Sudden Childhood Death: Implications for Reye's
Syndrome, Am J Dis Children, 1986.
**also printed in French edition.

Wah Mee Massacre: the Murder of 13 Chinese Adults, Am J For Med &
Path, December, 1986.

Bonnell, Harry J.

- 4 -

Clinical and Pathologic Aspects of Cardiomyopathy from Ipecac Administration in Munchausen's Syndrome by Proxy, Pediatrics, 1996.
*** also extracted for Quarterly Child Abuse Medical Update

Post-mortem Drug Redistribution, Forensic Sciences Review, July, 2001.

OTHER QUALIFICATIONS

Medicolegal autopsies: More than 7000 performed personally.

Testimony experience: Provided sworn testimony more than 900 times in 20 states, as well as federal courts and courts martial.

Aircraft Mishaps: 37 on-site investigations to include autopsies.

2008 – present: Appointed to Expert Witness Panel. Los Angeles Superior Court

OTHER ACTIVITIES

Eucharistic Minister, St. Therese Parish, San Diego	1992 – present
Parish Council (Communications Chair)	1996-1998.
Little League Umpire (Volunteer)	1992 - present
San Carlos LL Umpire-in-Chief	1994 – 1998
District 33 Patriot League (Seniors) Umpire-in-Chief	1997 – 2008
Synchronized Swimming Judge (volunteer) Level III	1994 – 2008

MOST VALUED AWARDS

1973 – US Army Meritorious Service Medal

1986 – US Army Meritorious Service Medal (1st Oak Leaf Cluster) while serving in US Air Force

1987 – Department of Defense Commendation Medal

1996 – Foundation For Improvement of Justice Medal (\$10,000 award)

1999 – Physician of the Year, San Diego County Child Abuse Coordinating Committee

2001 – Little League Umpire Service Award


2002 – First Responder Award (San Diego International Airport)

2003 – Empty Shoes Award (Parents of Murdered Children)

2007 - Selected for Europe/Middle East/Africa Little League Regional Tournaments

I declare under penalty of perjury under the laws of the State of California that the foregoing is true and correct.

Executed this 31 day of May 2016 in San Diego, California.



HARRY J. BONNELL, M.D.

A notary public or other officer completing this certificate verifies only the identity of the individual who signed the document to which this certificate is attached and not the truthfulness, accuracy, or validity of that document.

State of California, County of San Diego
Subscribed and sworn to (or affirmed) before me on this 31 day

of May 2016 by Harry J. Bonnell
proved to me on the basis of satisfactory evidence to be the person or persons who appeared before me

Signature Julio Simoes (seal)



John Creuzot, District Attorney
195th, District Court
Dallas, County Courthouse
Dallas, Texas 75207

RE: Cause No. F96-02380-UN
State V. Radke
TDCJ No. 806352

Dear

On October 31, 1997, I was convicted in your court for the offense of Murder, after a plea of Not Guilty and sentenced to a life year sentence in the Texas Department of Criminal Justice.

According to the rules and Regulations of the Parole Board, if a majority of the trial officials (i.e., the presiding judge, district attorney, and sheriff) give a favorable recommendation, without solicitation by the Board, an offender's sentence may be commuted. This being the case, I am requesting that such a favorable recommendation be made to the Parole Board considering the following circumstances of my conviction:

I.

Mitigating evidence was withheld and valuable evidence was withheld that shows I am not guilty. The medical examiner Shelia Spotswood testified that the evidence points to suicide and not murder. (See "Exhibits" section at www.freechris.org or Transcripts)

II.

Page 14 of the Interrogation transcripts, detective Thompson tells me they know she shot herself. (See www.freechris.org "Exhibits" section)

III.

Hand swabs for firearm detection were performed at the scene on me and found negative results of residue. There were positive results on my wife Diana Radke for firing a firearm, high levels of antimony found on her hand. (See www.freechris.org "Exhibits" section and Transcripts)

IV.

Still undisclosed evidence in the District Attorneys file namely photos of my clothing taken at the scene by Crime Scene. Video tape of the scene, SWIFS 2 Forensic Serologist who examined my clothing and did not find any High Velocity Blood Splatter on my clothing and more.

V.

Brady violation in record where Carolynn Van Winkle the DNA expert testified and during her testimony Dr. John V. Planz and Dr. Elizabeth L. Hendals examination of my pants were discovered by my trial attorney and Judge withheld favorable evidence in the record discovered. My defense team was blindsided. (See www.freechris.org "Exhibits" section or Carolynn Van Winkles testimony)

VI.

Undisclosed evidence discovered in 2014 that police reports that are favorable to my defense was not disclosed. One was the crime scene opened the breach that blood poured out of the end of the shotgun barrels. It proves my wife had the barrels in her mouth when she pulled the trigger. Impossible to happen if I am in a standing position aiming the shotgun down away from her body. (See www.freechris.org "Exhibits" section and Dr. Harry J. Bonnell's affidavit)

VII.

Undisclosed favorable evidence found in 2014 police reports. By first responding officers mainly CM. Reed. Police reports say that the officers observed the shotgun on the floor lying next to the deceased left side. During officer Reeds testimony, he testifies to his report, but the prosecuting attorney has him change his testimony while he is on the witness stand and she led the witness by saying "you mean the shotgun was lying on her chest"? C.M Reeds response "Oh, yea the shotgun was lying on her chest". My defense team was unable to prove he lied because we did not have his police report or the others. Someone moved the gun at the scene. It was not me because the scene was cleared. (See Exhibits section at www.freechris.org, also Transcripts and medical examiner Dr. Harry J. Bonnell's affidavit)

VIII.

I was poorly represented at the trial by my defense attorney Catherine Shelton. After my trial it was discovered Ms. Shelton has a longer rap sheet than most of her clients and she was disbarred. Catherine Shelton's spouse, Dallas County Constable Clint Shelton was convicted to life plus 20 years, shot two people in Catherine's law firm and one person lived to tell the story. (See www.A&E documentary of Catherine Shelton)

Because of these reasons, I am hoping that the necessary trial officials, enbanc, will discuss the possibility of a favorable recommendation in my case.

Any consideration given in this matter will be sincerely appreciated.

Very truly yours,

www.freechris.org

www.actualinnocentprisoners.com